IMPACT FEE ADMINISTRATION Fund 0057 General, Budget Unit 157 Richard Simon, Director of Resource Management

State Controller SchedulesCounty of ShastaCounty Budget ActFinancing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by ObjectJanuary 2010 Edition, revision #1Governmental FundsFiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule 9
	Function: GI	7 - IMPACT FEE A ENERAL ROPERTY MANAG	•	7)	
Detail By Revenue Category 2012-13 Actual 2014-15 Adopted and Expenditure Object Actuals Estimated Recommended the Board					2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
	1	2	3	4	5
REVENUE FROM MONEY & CHARGES FOR SERVICES MISCELLANEOUS REVENU		(\$1,093) \$246,863 \$800	\$0 \$418,356 \$0	\$0 \$218,300 \$0	\$0 \$218,300 \$0
	Total Revenues:	\$ 246,569	\$418,356	\$218,300	\$218,300
SERVICES AND SUPPLIES OTHER FINANCING USES		\$4,437 \$40,000	\$5,470 \$0	\$5,500 \$0	\$5,500 \$0
Total Expenditure	Appropriations:	\$ 44,437	\$5,470	\$ 5,500	\$ 5,500
	Net Cost:	(\$ 202,131)	(\$412,886)	(\$212,800)	(\$212,800)

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

A public facilities impact fee schedule which sets public facility fees for all new development within the County, outside the incorporated areas, was approved and adopted by Ordinance by the Board of Supervisors on April 22, 2008. This budget unit was created to maintain the revenues and expenditures associated with the collection of impact fees.

BUDGET REQUESTS

The FY 2014-15 requested budget includes \$218,300 in revenue and \$5,500 in expenditures.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO concurs with the requested budget.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs with this budget as recommended.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

INTERMOUNTAIN FAIR Fund 0100 Intermountain Fair, Budget Unit 159 Lawrence G. Lees, County Executive Officer

State Controller Schedules County Budget Act Financing Source January 2010 Edition, revision #1 Budget Unit: Function:	Schedule 9			
Activity:	PROMOTION			
Detail By Revenue Category and Expenditure Object	2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
1	2	3	4	5
REVENUE FROM MONEY & PROPERTY	\$400,664	\$292,758	\$0	\$0
CHARGES FOR SERVICES	\$26	\$0	S O	\$0
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES	\$6,361	\$1,956	\$4,34 9	\$4,349
OTHR FINANCING SOURCES TRAN IN	50	\$50,000	50	<u>\$0</u>
Total Revenues:	\$407,052	\$344,714	\$4,349	\$4,349
SALARIES AND BENEFITS	\$139,356	\$64,391	\$3,152	\$3,152
SERVICES AND SUPPLIES	\$290,391	\$603,297	\$10,862	\$10,862
OTHER CHARGES	\$23,370	\$15,339	<u>\$12,744</u>	\$12,744
Total Expenditures/Appropriations:	\$453,118	\$683,028	\$26,758	\$26,758
Net Cost:	 \$46,066	\$338,314	\$ 22,409	\$22,409

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Intermountain Fair is a County fair located in the town of McArthur, in eastern Shasta County. Funding for the Fair comes from gate admission fees, concession fees, and building rental fees.

In January 2014, the Board of Supervisors approved leasing the Intermountain Fairgrounds to the Heritage Foundation. The Heritage Foundation now manages the Intermountain Fairgrounds and the annual County Fair.

BUDGET REQUESTS

The FY 2014-15 requested budget includes expenditures in the amount of \$26,758 and revenues in the amount of \$4,349. With the Intermountain Fair being managed by the Heritage Foundation, the CEO recommends the deletion of the Intermountain Fair Manager position.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO recommended budget is as requested by the department head.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs with this budget as recommended.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

Adopted as presented in the proposed budget.

52 Shasta County Adopted Budget, FY 2014-15

GENERAL RESERVE Fund 0170 General Reserves, Budget Unit 160 Lawrence G. Lees, County Executive Officer

State Controller SchedulesCounty of ShastaCounty Budget ActFinancing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by ObjectJanuary 2010 Edition, revision #IGovernmental FundsFiscal Year 2014-15Fiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule 9	
Budget Unit: 160 - GENERAL RESERVES (FUND 0170) Function: GENERAL Activity: FINANCE						
Detail By Reve and Expend		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors	
	1	2	3	4	5	
REVENUE FROM MONEY	& PROPERTY	\$ 31,136	\$41,503	\$30,000	\$30,000	
	Total Revenues:	\$31,136	\$41,503	\$30,000	\$30,000	
Total Expenditu	res/Appropriations:	S 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	
	Net Cost:	(\$31,136)	(\$41,503)	(\$30,000)	(\$30,000)	

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Governments should maintain a prudent level of financial resources to protect against reducing service levels or incurring debt because of temporary revenue shortfalls or unpredicted one-time expenditures. The General Reserve budget unit serves as the County's prudent reserve fund.

Administrative Policy 2-103, Budgetary Reserves, establishes a target balance of five percent of unrestricted General Fund resources. Each year, the County Executive Officer may recommend additions to or withdrawals from the Reserve in the County Final Budget. The General Reserve shall not be used to support recurring operating expenditures, and is the last resort in balancing the County budget.

The General Reserve fund is used as a resource for "dry period" financing for special districts under the Board of Supervisors as well as districts and/or agencies in the county. Requests for loans from General Reserve are reviewed by staff and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval.

BUDGET REQUEST

The requested budget for General Reserve contains one revenue item; interest earnings (\$30,000). The current balance in the General Reserve is approximately \$10.5 million. This is 3 percent of total Government Funds appropriations (\$341.6 million).

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO recommended budget is as requested by the department head.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

This budget was prepared by, and is recommended by, the County Administrative Office.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

ACCUMULATED CAPITAL OUTLAY Fund 0040 Accumulative Capital Outlay, Budget Unit 161 Lawrence G. Lees, County Executive Officer

tate Controller SchedulesCounty of Shastaounty Budget ActFinancing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by Objectunuary 2010 Edition, revision #1Governmental FundsFiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule
	Function: GE	1 - ACCUMULATI NERAL - CAPITA ANT ACQUISITIC	L PROJECTS	LAY (FUND 0040)	
Detail By Reve and Expend		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
	1	2	3	4	5
REVENUE FROM MONEY OTHR FINANCING SOURC		(\$79) \$0	\$5,885 \$7,505,089	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
	Total Revenues:	(\$79)	\$7,510,974	\$0	\$ 0
OTHER FINANCING USES		\$2,802,551	\$2,685,984	\$25,000	\$25,000
Total Expenditu	res/Appropriations:	\$2,802,551	\$2,685,984	\$25,000	\$25,000
	Net Cost:	\$2,802,630	(\$4,824,989)	\$25,000	\$25,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Accumulated Capital Outlay (ACO) budget unit was established for the designation of funds to be held in reserve for future capital projects. This reserve provides resources for capital programs that would otherwise adversely impact the County General Fund.

BUDGET REQUEST

The FY 2014-15 requested budget appropriates \$25,000, a transfer out for the completion of the roof repairs to the Mental Health Building which was approved in 2012-13.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO requested budget is as requested by the department head.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

This budget was prepared by the County Administrative Office.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Fund 0060 General, Budget Unit 165 Lawrence G. Lees, County Executive Officer

State Controller SchedulesCounty of ShastaCounty Budget ActFinancing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by ObjectJanuary 2010 Edition, revision #1Governmental FundsFiscal Year 2014-15Fiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule 9
	Budget Unit: 165 Function: GE Activity: PR(NERAL	EVELOPMENT (FU	ND 0060)	
Detail By Reve and Expend		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
	1	2	3	4	5
	Total Revenues:	S 0	S 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SER VICES AND SUPPLIES	3	\$46,334	\$46,969	\$48,300	\$48,300
Total Expenditu	res/Appropriations:	\$46,334	\$46,969	\$48,300	\$48,300
	Net Cost:	\$46,334	\$46,969	\$48,300	\$48,300

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This budget unit accounts for expenditures to participate in business recruitment, development, attraction and retention programs, workforce development, increasing private investment/reinvestment, and other economic development activities, pursuant to policy direction of the Board of Supervisors.

BUDGET REQUESTS

The total General Fund appropriation requested for this budget unit for FY 2014-15 is \$48,300, an increase of \$1,300 when compared to the adjusted FY 2013-14 budget. The breakdown of this budget unit is as follows:

\$9,017 to the Superior California Economic Development District (SCEDD) as the County's proportionate contribution to the local match funding of the Federal Economic Development Administration Annual Economic Development Planning Grant; and

\$37,500 to the Economic Development Corporation of Shasta County (EDC) for Enterprise Zone Administration services, a status quo budget as compared to FY 2013-14.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO concurs with the requested budget.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The County Executive Officer is the department head for this budget unit.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

Adopted as presented in the proposed budget.

56 Shasta County Adopted Budget, FY 2014-15

PUBLIC WORKS-LAND, BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS Fund 0062 General-Capital Projects, Budget Unit 166 Patrick J. Minturn, Director of Public Works

State Controller Schedules County Budget Act January 2010 Edition, revision #1	Financing Sources a Go	County of Shasta nd Uses by Budget 1 vernmental Funds cal Year 2014-15	Unit by Object		Schedule 9
	Function: G	6 - LAND BUILDI ENERAL - CAPITA ANT ACQUISITIC	L PROJECTS	MENTS (FUND 000	52)
Detail By Revenue and Expenditure		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
	1	2	3	4	5
OTHR FINANCING SOURCES	TRAN IN	\$697,302	\$592,480	\$82,960	\$82,960
	Total Revenues:	\$ 69 7 ,302	\$592,480	\$82,960	\$82,960
SERVICES AND SUPPLIES OTHER CHARGES CAPITAL ASSETS INTRAFUND TRANSFERS		\$70,130 \$3,459 \$618,819 \$0	\$66,394 \$5,547 \$520,538 \$0	\$77,000 \$5,960 \$2,218,548 (\$2,218,548)	\$77,000 \$5,960 \$2,218,548 (\$2,218,548)
Total Expenditures/	Appropriations:	\$692,410	\$592,480	\$82,960	\$82,960
	Net Cost:	(\$4,892)	S 0	50	\$0

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this budget unit is to comply with State law that requires that all major construction and improvement projects be compiled in one location within the overall County budget document.

Generally, projects that are requested and funded by departments are included in both this budget as well as in the respective sponsoring departmental budget. Project costs are reflected in this unit and are charged back to the sponsoring department by way of the cost applied line.

BUDGET REQUESTS

The following table illustrates the requested projects included in the Land, Buildings, and Improvements FY 2014-15 requested budget:

Project Name	Requested	Recommended	Funding Source
MHSA Breslauer Remodel	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	MHSA Fund
Placer Street DPW Upper N Remodel	\$363,548	\$363,548	Roads Fund
Mental Health Bldg. Roof	\$25,000	\$25,000	Accumulated Capital Outlay
Opportunity Center Transit Shelter	\$50,000	\$50,000	Opportunity Center
Jail Phase 1 Hot Water System Upgrade	\$50,000	\$185,000	Criminal Justice Trust Fund
Fleet Building Storage Addition	\$30,000	\$0	Fleet Fund
MHSA 2640 Breslauer CRRC Remodel	\$420,000	\$0	CHFSA/Grant Funds

Project Name	Requested	Recommended	Funding Source
Social Svcs. Breslauer Addition	\$250,000	\$250,000	Social Svcs Fund
Facilities Mgmt. Placer St. Roof	\$45,000	\$45,000	Facilities Mgmt. Fund

This budget unit also funds the costs of professional services provided by Public Works personnel that are not directly attributable to a listed project. Those costs are estimated at \$50,000 for FY 2014-15. Also, included is the cost associated with the administration of real property owned by the county, estimated to be \$27,000 for the year, and the A-87 Central Service cost of \$5,960.

The Cost Applied amount of \$2.5 million is the sum of the requested projects budgeted in the originating departments. Appropriations in this unit allow the Public Works department to accumulate all projects in one place for tracking purposes. The remaining \$82,960 is a transfer-in from the General Fund to support activities not specific to a department.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO recommended budget is as requested by the department head, with the following amendments: deletion of the CRRC Remodel at 2640 Breslauer Way because the grant funding did not materialize; the storage addition to the Fleet Building is not recommended; increased the Jail Hot Water System Upgrade to re-budget unspent appropriations from FY 2013-14. Appropriations and Cost Applieds are each reduced by \$315,000.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs with this budget as recommended.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

ANIMAL SHELTER CONSTRUCTION Fund 0045 Capital Project Animal Shelter, Budget Unit 16901 Patrick J. Minturn, Director Public Works

State Controller Schedules County of Shasta County Budget Act Financing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by Object anuary 2010 Edition, revision #1 Governmental Funds Fiscal Year 2014-15 Fiscal Year 2014-15					
Func	Unit: 16A - ANI tion: GENERAI ivity: PLANT A	L - CAPITA		0045)	
Detail By Revenue Category and Expenditure Object	1 -)12-13 ctuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
1	1	2	3	4	5
REVENUE FROM MONEY & PROPERTY	······································	(\$0)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Reven	ues:	(\$0)	S 0	\$0	\$0
OTHER CHARGES		\$3	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0
Total Expenditures/Appropriati	ons:	\$3	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Net C	Cost:	\$3	\$0	\$ 0	\$0

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This budget was established for the purpose of performing the work necessary to build a new animal shelter.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The County entered in to a 25-year agreement with Haven Humane Society, Inc. (Haven) on September 20, 2011 to provide animal care, adoptions, sheltering, and licensing services effective January 1, 2013. The Sheriff's Office will retain and maintain responsibility for animal enforcement services in the unincorporated areas of the county.

There is no activity in this budget for FY 2014-15.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs with the recommended budget.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

JUVENILE HALL CONSTRUCTION Fund 0046 Public Safety, Budget Unit 16902 Patrick J. Minturn, Director Public Works

State Controller Schedules County Budget Act Fi January 2010 Edition, revision #1	nancing Sources a Gov	ounty of Shasta nd Uses by Budget I vernmental Funds cal Year 2014-15	Unit by Object		Schedule 9
	Function: Gl	B - JUVENILE HAI ENERAL - CAPITA ANT ACQUISITIC	L PROJECTS	ND 0046)	
Detail By Revenue Ca and Expenditure O		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual 🔀 Estimated 🗖	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
1		2	3	4	5
FINES, FORFEITURES & PENAL	TIES	\$60,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0
REVENUE FROM MONEY & PRO	PERTY	\$567	(\$701)	20	\$0
INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVEN	IUES	\$10,627,417	\$2,967,346	20	\$ 0
OTHR FINANCING SOURCES TH	ANIN	\$40,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
To	tal Revenues:	\$10,727,984	\$2,966,644	\$ 0	\$0
SERVICES AND SUPPLIES		\$10,824	\$118,868	\$ 0	\$ 0
OTHER CHARGES		\$977	\$4,557	\$0	\$0
CAPITAL ASSETS		\$12,125,611	\$2,997,409	\$0	\$0
Total Expenditures/Ar	propriations:	\$12,137,412	\$3,120,835	\$0	\$0
	Net Cost:	\$1,409,428	\$1 54,190	\$ 0	\$ 0

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This budget was established to build a new juvenile rehabilitation facility (JRF). Shasta County received a conditional funding award in the amount of \$15,050,000 from the state's Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facility Construction Funding Program (SB 81) to construct a new 90-bed JRF. The total construction project was estimated to cost \$18,450,000, with a County cash match in the amount of \$3.4 million.

The JRF is approximately 43,300 square feet and along with the three 30-bed housing pods, includes a day room with an open staff work station, two classrooms, a program room with an equipment storage closet, a screening room, a janitorial closet, and a staff restroom in each pod. In addition, there are two secured, shared outdoor exercise areas, one of which is covered, to serve all three pods.

Space for healthcare services is provided and includes two fully equipped medical examination rooms, one mental health telemedicine room, and secure pharmaceutical and medical storage. The JRF also includes a lobby and visitor processing area at the public entrance (which includes both contact and non-contact visitation space, as well as confidential attorney interview rooms); a ward intake, release, and processing area with pedestrian and vehicular sally ports and a confidential interview room; central control; and housing pod control stations.

Facility support services space include a multipurpose room, a staff break room, staff office and work stations, staff locker rooms, a new kitchen, vocational laundry space, a large institutional storage area, additional area-specific storage spaces throughout the facility, and maintenance areas. In addition to the project scope outlined above, the county built a 3,300 square foot administrative area at the entrance to the JRF which includes a reception window/workstation for the lobby, six probation offices, a principal's office, a conference room, two work rooms, a break room, restrooms, and a janitorial closet.

BUDGET REQUESTS

The JRF Ribbon Cutting ceremony took place on November 6, 2013. The facility construction was complete and the Notice of Completion was issued on November 22, 2013. The Probation Department and juvenile wards moved in to the JRF on January 25, 2014. The project audit report was issued, and the final reimbursement claim request was sent to the state, on February 27, 2014. The final state payment was approved by the state on March 4, 2014 but has not yet been received by the County.

There are no budget appropriations requested in FY 2014-15.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO recommended budget is as requested by the department head.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs with the recommended budget.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

ADULT REHABILITATION CENTER CONSTRUCTION Fund 0047 Public Safety, Budget Unit 16903 Patrick J. Minturn, Director Public Works

State Controller SchedulesCounty of ShastaCounty Budget ActFinancing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by ObjectJanuary 2010 Edition, revision #1Governmental FundsFiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule 9
	Function: GE	C - ADULT REHAI INERAL - CAPITA ANT ACQUISITIC	L PROJECTS	0047)	
Detail By Revenue and Expenditure		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
	1	2	3	4	5
REVENUE FROM MONEY & P OTHR FINANCING SOURCES		\$0 \$0	\$5,042 \$2,500,000	\$0 \$0	\$0 50
	Total Revenues:	\$ 0	\$2,505,042	\$ 0	\$ 0
SER VICES AND SUPPLIES CAPITAL ASSETS			\$75,370 \$0	\$0 \$2,000,000	\$0 \$2,000,000
Total Expenditures/	Appropriations:	\$ 0	\$75,370	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
	Net Cost:	\$ 0	(\$2,429,672)	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This budget was established to build a new adult rehabilitation center (ARC). Shasta County received a conditional funding award in the amount of \$20 million from the state Adult Local Criminal Justice Facilities Construction Funding Program (SB 1022) to construct a new 64-bed dormitory-style mediumsecurity adult rehabilitation center. The total construction project is estimated to cost \$22.5 million, with a County cash and in-kind match, along with costs not eligible for state funding, in the amount of \$2.5 million.

The project is a 36,000 square foot 64-bed dormitory-style medium-security adult rehabilitation center. The ARC design will promote a safe and secure environment to advance the rehabilitation of the County's adult low-level offenders, which will be especially helpful to the County, the County's Community Correction Plan, and the community in light of AB109/2011 Public Safety Realignment. It will primarily be constructed of insulated concrete masonry units which will add to the energy efficiency, security and durability of the ARC. In addition to space for 64 dormitory-style-bed housing, the ARC will include space for the Sheriff's Day Reporting Center and Work Release Programs. Also, after the County builds the ARC the entire kitchen and laundry facilities currently located at the Main Jail will both be moved in to the new ARC. This will provide many benefits. First of all, both the kitchen and laundry facilities in the ARC will provide new vocational programs in culinary arts, food service, industrial laundry, and embroidery. Second, aging kitchen and laundry facilities will be replaced with new state-of-the-art facilities, which will also likely be less expensive to maintain and operate. Third, a new, more cost effective cook-and-serve food program will be implemented which could save the County in annual operating costs. Finally, the space vacated in the Main Jail could be remodeled in the future to add additional, badly needed, mental health jail beds. The ARC is tentatively scheduled to open in December of 2018.

BUDGET REQUESTS

On October 15, 2013 the Board accepted the \$20 million conditional award from the state and appropriated \$2.5 million for the County's cost of the project.

Expenditures in the amount of \$2 million are requested in the FY 2014-15 requested budget, offset with use of project fund balance. Expenditures will support staff and consultant work for design and construction management, contract negotiations, California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) compliance, state Real Estate Due Diligence costs, site preparation, as well as permits and fees.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO recommended budget is as requested by the department head.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Similar to the County's SB 81 Juvenile Rehabilitation Facility, the ARC is funded with state lease-revenue bonds. This funding program is complex and requires the County to comply with several state tasks and state-county agreements, in a timely manner. The Ground Lease sets forth the terms and conditions under which the County will lease the project site (real property) to the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) for the purposes of making the County eligible for the state's lease-revenue bond financing program. The Right of Entry agreement sets forth the County's authorization from CDCR (with the consent of the State Public Works Board (SPWB)) to use the project site in order to construct the new facility. When construction of the ARC is about 90-95% complete the state Department of Finance issues the lease-revenue bonds. After the ARC is built the SPWB leases the ARC to CDCR via a Facility Lease in order for CDCR to pay the annual lease-revenue bond payments to the SPWB (State General Funds allocated annually by the Legislature and Governor in CDCR's operating budget). After the Site Lease and the Facility Lease is executed (the County will not be a party to either of these agreements), the County enters in to the Facility Sublease with CDCR (with the consent of the SPWB) in order to occupy and operate the ARC. The Facility Sublease is subordinate to and the term runs concurrently with the Facility Lease. After the state lease-revenue bonds are paid in full/fully retired then the Ground Lease, Facility Lease, and Facility Sublease all terminate and ownership of the ARC reverts to the County. The term of the outstanding bonds could be as long as 30 years.

Annual staff and operating costs are estimated to start at \$3.9 million and projected to increase (by using a simple three percent annual inflation factor) to \$9.5 million 30 years from now. These costs are offset by reducing the Jail budget by \$1.1 million due to the transfer of the kitchen and laundry facilities, as well as food costs for both facilities. Costs are further offset by transferring the Sheriff's current Work Release budget, and related AB 109/2011 Realignment revenue (\$734,000), as well. At this point in time, the County plans to fund the net increase of \$2.1 million per year from General Funds and Proposition 172 (Public Safety Augmentation) funds.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs with the recommended budget.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

Adopted as presented in the proposed budget.

63

PUBLIC WORKS-SURVEYOR Fund 0060 General, Budget Unit 172 Patrick J. Minturn, Director of Public Works

State Controller Schedules C County Budget Act Financing Sources a January 2010 Edition, revision #1 Budget Unit: 17 Function: G Activity: O	Schedule 9			
Detail By Revenue Category and Expenditure Object	2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual 🖸 Estimated 🗖	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors
1	2	3	4	5
CHARGES FOR SERVICES	\$16,290	\$15,020	\$15,000	\$15,000
Total Revenues:	\$16,290	\$15,020	\$15,000	\$15,000
SER VICES AND SUPPLIES OTHER CHARGES	\$15,854 \$71	\$13,220 \$140	\$21,000 \$80	\$21,000 \$80
Total Expenditures/Appropriations:	\$15,925	\$13,360	\$21,080	\$ 21,080
Net Cost:	(\$364)	(\$1,659)	\$ 6,080	\$ 6,080

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The office of the County Surveyor checks records of survey, parcel and subdivision maps, and levies fees for those services. The office also provides map service for County departments; i.e., the County Clerk. The Road Fund finances the labor necessary to perform these services. The fees charged for these services and contributions from the General Fund are used to offset costs.

BUDGET REQUESTS

The FY 2014-15 requested budget includes revenue in the amount of \$15,000 and \$21,080 in expenditures. Revenues remained the same and expenditures decreased by \$60 as compared to the FY 2013-14 adjusted budget. This budget unit is anticipated to end FY 2013-14 under budget by approximately \$6,000.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO concurs with the requested budget.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

Adopted as presented in the proposed budget.

64 Shasta County Adopted Budget, FY 2014-15

MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL Fund 0060 General, Budget Unit 173 Lawrence G. Lees, County Executive Officer

State Controller Schedules County Budget Act January 2010 Edition, revision #1	ty Budget Act Financing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by Object				Schedule 9	
Budget Unit: 173 - MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL 1 (FUND 0060) Function: GENERAL Activity: OTHER GENERAL						
Detail By Revenue and Expenditure		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors	
1		2	3	4	5	
REVENUE FROM MONEY & PROPERTY CHARGES FOR SERVICES		\$9,552 \$443	\$10,460 \$546	\$10,000 \$500	\$10,000 \$500	
Total Revenues:		\$ 9,995	\$11,006	\$10,500	\$10,500	
SER VICES AND SUPPLIES OTHER CHARGES CAPITAL ASSETS INTRAFUND TRANSFERS		\$318,048 \$259,347 \$0 (\$74,713)	\$450,848 \$67,206 \$400,597 (\$70,847)	\$449,225 \$292,000 \$0 (\$71,030)	\$449,225 \$292,000 \$0 (\$71,030)	
Total Expenditures/Appropriations:		\$ 502,682	\$ 847,805	\$ 670,195	\$ 670,195	
	Net Cost:	\$492,687	\$836,798	\$ 659,695	\$ 659,695	

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This budget unit finances miscellaneous expenditures, which are not routinely allocable to departments.

BUDGET REQUEST

The FY 2014-15 budget request reflects a net cost of \$648,208, an increase of 5.5 percent. The Services and Supplies object level funds the following expenses: operations and maintenance costs associated with the first floor and basement of the Courthouse, some residual expenses of the Public Safety Building. the Administration Center Parking Garage; energy retrofit charges for buildings demolished during construction of the Shasta Administration Center, and the old Jail. In total, these charges are \$37,101. Also included is the cost of the Illegal Dumping Program coordinated through the District Attorney (\$125,616); the annual county financial audit (\$76,350); nuisance abatement clean-up (\$75,000); assessment appeals, employee appeals and nuisance abatement appeals, (\$80,000); the actuarial for Other Post-Employment Benefits (\$18,000); and the annual contribution to the Women's Refuge (\$24,300).

Under "Other Charges" is the annual allocation for the court ordered tax back-fill to the City of Shasta Lake (\$230,000); and the County's annual contribution (\$62,000) to the Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo). This allows various contributions with countywide significance to be reflected in one budget unit.

Interfund Transfers (\$71,030) are the reimbursement from various special districts and other agencies for their distributed share of the County audit via the A-87 Cost Allocation Plan.

Revenue of \$10,500 is derived from parking meter fees collected in the parking garage, and a property tax administration fee.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO recommended budget is as requested by the department head with an increase of \$11,487 for the Illegal Dumping Program.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

The cost to enforce the County's medical marijuana ordinance is contained in this budget unit. Included are appropriations for legal services for nuisance abatement hearings, and clean-up of illegal grows. Not included is the cost of the staff dedicated to the enforcement; those costs are included in the General Fund Transfer Out in the General Revenue Budget Unit. All told, the FY 2014-15 Budget to enforce the medical marijuana ordinance totals \$364,082 (legal services, \$70,000; clean-up, \$15,000; Building Inspectors and staff, \$164,082; Sheriff Deputy, \$115,000.)

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

This budget was prepared by the County Administrative Office.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

TOBACCO SETTLEMENT FUNDS Fund 0060 General, Budget Unit 174 Lawrence G. Lees, County Executive Officer

State Controller Schedules County of Shasta County Budget Act Financing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by Object January 2010 Edition, revision #1 Governmental Funds Fiscal Year 2014-15 Fiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule 9	
Budget Unit: 174 - TOBACCO SETTLEMENT GRANTS (FUND 0060) Function: GENERAL Activity: OTHER GENERAL						
Detail By Revenue and Expenditure		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors	
1		2	3	4	5	
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES		\$2,373,778	\$1,560,553	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	
Total Revenues:		\$2,373,778	\$1,560,553	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	
SERVICES AND SUPPLIES OTHER FINANCING USES		\$237,377 \$2,872,219	\$156,033 \$2,378,450	\$200,000 \$2,382,950	\$200,000 \$2,382,950	
Total Expenditures/	Appropriations:	\$3,109,596	\$2,534,483	\$2,582,950	\$2,582,950	
	Net Cost:	\$735,818	\$ 973,929	\$1,082,950	\$1,082,950	

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This budget unit was established to provide a mechanism for disbursement of tobacco litigation settlement funds. On April 26, 2011, the Board renewed a grant agreement with Shasta Community Health Center in the amount of ten percent of the annual tobacco settlement receipts to provide health care services to the medically underserved population of Shasta County. Long-term debt payments for the new Administration Center are made from this budget unit. On June 24, 2008, the Board of Supervisors dedicated 90 percent of the annual tobacco settlement receipts to the repayment of bond financing for the construction of the Administration Building (SCAC) and appurtenant parking. In January 2013, the County refunded the lease-revenue bonds on the SCAC, realizing annual savings of almost \$500,000 through the life of the debt.

BUDGET REQUEST

The FY 2014-15 budget request anticipates Tobacco Settlement revenue of \$1.5 million. The Shasta Community Health Center will receive ten percent; the remaining 90 percent will offset the debt service on the SCAC building. The \$2.38 million transfer-out is the debt service. The net county cost for this budget unit is \$1,082,950.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

This budget is recommended as requested.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Tobacco settlement payments are dependent upon cigarette sales volume. The master settlement agreement report is received annually in April so we are only able to estimate the revenue for the coming year.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

This budget was prepared by the County Administrative Office.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

Adopted as presented in the proposed budget.

~

PUBLIC WORKS-CSA ADMINISTRATION Fund 00060 General, Budget Unit 175 Patrick J. Minturn, Director of Public Works

State Controller Schedules County of Shasta County Budget Act Financing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by Object January 2010 Edition, revision #1 Governmental Funds Fiscal Year 2014-15 Fiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule 9	
Budget Unit: 175 - CSA ADMINISTRATION (FUND 0060) Function: GENERAL Activity: OTHER GENERAL						
Detail By Revenue Category and Expenditure Object		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors	
1		2	3	4	5	
CHARGES FOR SERVICES MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES		\$694,046 \$22	\$751,910 \$462	\$765,608 \$41,663	\$765,608 \$41,663	
Total Revenues:		\$ 694,069	\$7 52, 3 72	\$807,271	\$ 807,271	
SALARIES AND BENEFITS SERVICES AND SUPPLIES OTHER CHARGES		\$578,009 \$102,146 \$14,829	\$613,216 \$124,123 \$15,065	\$666,293 \$124,834 \$16,144	\$666,293 \$124,834 \$16,144	
Total Expenditures/Appropria	tions:	\$ 694,986	\$752,405	\$807,271	\$807,271	
Net	Cost:	\$916	\$33	\$0	\$0	

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This budget reflects the fiscal activity of the "umbrella" organization, which provides operational and administrative support to thirteen active County Service Areas (CSA), four Street Lighting Districts and eighty one subsidiary Permanent Road Divisions. More than 3,500 County residents currently benefit from the services provided by CSAs. The CSA Administration Fund is financed entirely by the charges to the individual CSAs. Responsibility for management of this unit, and provision of CSA services, is within the Department of Public Works. Personnel directly assigned to CSA Administration include one clerical position, five field technicians, and five extra-help technicians.

BUDGET REQUESTS

The FY 2014-15 requested budget includes \$807,271 in both expenditures and revenues. The budget submitted is fully supported by administrative fees levied in the benefiting CSAs. There is no General Fund support for this budget.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO concurs with the requested budget.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs with this budget as recommended.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

Adopted as presented in the proposed budget.

Shasta County Adopted Budget, FY 2014-15.....

PUBLIC WORKS- SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT TITLE III ADMINISTRATION

Fund 0065 General Federal Forest Title III, Budget Unit 176 Patrick J. Minturn, Director of Public Works

ate Controller Schedules County of Shasta ounty Budget Act Financing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by Object nuary 2010 Edition, revision #1 Governmental Funds Fiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule 9	
	Function: GE		ECTS (FUND 0065)		
Detail By Revo and Expend	nue Category iture Object	2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual 🖾 Estimated 🗖	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors	
1		2	3	4	5	
REVENUE FROM MONEY & PROPERTY INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUES		\$270 \$150,653	\$386 \$135 <u>724</u>	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
	Total Revenues:	\$150,923	\$136,110	\$ 0	\$0	
SERVICES AND SUPPLIES OTHER CHARGES OTHER FINANCING USES	-	\$330 \$94,171 \$136,880	\$2,242 \$0 \$41,756	\$500 \$0 \$17,660	\$500 \$0 \$17,660	
Total Expendita	res/Appropriations:	\$231,382	\$43,998	\$18,160	\$18,160	
	Net Cost:	\$80,459	(\$92,112)	\$18,160	\$18,160	

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 increased timber receipts for local schools and roads. The Act allocated 85 percent of these funds to local schools and roads. It also set aside the remaining 15 percent for projects to be allocated at the Board of Supervisor's discretion. This 15 percent can be allocated for projects in the woods (Title II) and/or to reimburse county departments for expenses incurred on federal lands or for fire education programs (Title III). The Board has selected a Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) to develop project proposals. County departments that meet the above criteria may also submit requests for project funding.

BUDGET REQUESTS

The FY 2014-15 requested budget includes expenditures in the amount of \$18,160. Expenditures that exceed revenues will be covered by fund balance.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO recommended budget is as requested by the department head.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

It is anticipated that 2012-13 will be the last year for the Secure Rural Schools Act extensions. Extension or re-authorization will depend on decisions by Congress for FY 2013-14 and future years.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The department head concurs with this budget as recommended.

FINAL BOARD ACTION

CENTRAL SERVICE COSTS (A-87) Fund 0060 General, Budget Unit 199 Brian Muir. Auditor - Controller

State Controller SchedulesCounty of ShastaCounty Budget ActFinancing Sources and Uses by Budget Unit by ObjectJanuary 2010 Edition, revision #lGovernmental FundsFiscal Year 2014-15					Schedule 9		
Budget Unit: 199 - CENTRAL SERVICE COST A-87 (FUND 0060) Function: GENERAL Activity: OTHER GENERAL							
Detail By Reve and Expendi		2012-13 Actuals	2013-14 Actual X Estimated	2014-15 Recommended	2014-15 Adopted by the Board of Supervisors		
1		2	3	4	5		
Total Revenues:		\$0	\$ 0	S 0	\$ 0		
INTRAFUND TRANSFERS OTHER FINANCING USES		(\$2,526,120) \$905,416	(\$2,333,013) \$763,421	(\$2,456,208) \$621,425	(\$2,456,208) \$621,425		
Total Expenditures/Appropriations:		(\$1,620,704)	(\$1,569,591)	(\$1,834,783)	(\$1,834,783)		
	Net Cost:	(\$1,620,704)	(\$1,569,591)	(\$1,834,783)	(\$1,834,783)		

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This budget unit is considered a "contra" budget unit, which means it is used to offset operating expenses of general County operations. The main purpose is to charge for services, equipment, and building occupancy by departments and agencies. This is allowable in the countywide cost allocation plan regulations.

BUDGET REQUESTS

This budget unit reflects expense offsets and revenue from that portion of the County-wide cost allocation plan (A-87) charged to departments and agencies outside the County's general operating funds. The cost offsets reflected in this budget unit total \$2.5 million for FY 2014-15 as calculated by the Auditor-Controller's Office. This is a decrease of \$123 thousand from the prior fiscal year and is primarily attributed to the refinance of the administrative building.

The County Administrative Office made the determination that many of the county departments would be unable to absorb the A-87 costs attributable to the Administration Center and requested Board approval to provide assistance to the affected departments. The Board granted assistance to 15 departments during the FY 2005-06 preliminary budget workshop. This assistance will be gradually phased out starting in 2012. Eight budget units will receive a credit in 2013-14 and the last credit will occur in 2014-15. The offset to Information Technology and the Opportunity Center Mail Room will phase out over 10-years with the last credit scheduled for FY 2021-22. This assumption will be reevaluated each year during the rate setting phase of the recommended budget. The recommended offset in FY 2014-15 is \$621,425. Departments residing in the General Fund have absorbed the depreciation expense via increased net county cost.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The CEO recommended budget is as requested by the department head.

PENDING ISSUES AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

DEPARTMENT HEAD CONCURRENCE OR APPEAL

The County Executive Officer and the County Auditor-Controller concur with this budget as recommended.

.....

,

FINAL BOARD ACTION