## PUBLIC NOTICE AND AGENDA

County of Shasta
Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) Meeting
Wednesday, June 16, 2021, 2:30 pm
City Hall – Civic Center Community Room
777 Cypress Avenue, Redding CA

### WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS

### 1. PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public will have the opportunity to address the Committee on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Committee. Speakers will be limited to three minutes.

## 2. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Committee members will review and approve <u>minutes</u> from the March 17, 2021 meeting.

### 3. FINANCIAL REPORT

Financial Report on the State allocation to Shasta County.

### 4. DISCUSSION ITEMS

- A. CCPEC members shall provide a summary of Executive Committee activities since March 17, 2021.
- B. Welcome Miguel Rodriguez and discuss the recruitment process to fill the vacancy of an individual who represents the interest of victims.
- C. Committee members will receive a presentation from the Shasta County Office of Education (SCOE) on the Community Connect Program funded with Local Innovative Subaccount dollars.
- D. Committee members will receive a presentation on the Proposition 47 Misdemeanor Community Engagement Program.

### 5. ACTION ITEMS

### OPERATIONAL UPDATES

### 7. OTHER ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION/FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

### 8. MEETING SCHEDULES

Executive August 18, 2021 Civic Center Community Room 2:30 pm to 5:00 pm
Full Committee September 15, 2021 Civic Center Community Room 2:30 pm to 5:00 pm
Executive October 20, 2021 Civic Center Community Room 2:30 pm to 5:00 pm
2:30 pm to 5:00 pm
2:30 pm to 5:00 pm

## 9. ADJOURN

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Shasta County will make available to any member of the public who has a disability a needed modification or accommodation, including an auxiliary aid or service, in order for that person to participate in the public meeting. A person needing assistance to attend this meeting should contact Erin Bertain at Probation at 530-245-6213 or in person or by mail at 2684 Radio Lane, Redding, CA 96001, or by email to <a href="mailto:elbertain@co.shasta.ca.us">elbertain@co.shasta.ca.us</a> at least two working days in advance. Accommodations may include, but are not limited to, interpreters, assistive listening devices, accessible seating, or documentation in an alternate format. If requested, this document and other agenda and meeting materials can be made available in an alternate format for persons with a disability who are covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act.

# Committee Members

Tracie Neal, Chief Probation Officer, Chair

Melissa Fowler-Bradley, Superior Court designee

Joe Chimenti, Shasta County Supervisor

Stephanie Bridgett, District Attorney William Bateman, Public Defender Eric Magrini, Shasta County Sheriff Bill Schueller, City of Redding Police Chief

**Donnell Ewert**, Health and Human Services Agency (HHSA) Director **Miguel Rodriguez**, HHSA Branch Director

Melissa Janulewicz, HHSA Branch

Director
Paige Greene, HHSA Branch

Director **Judy Flores**, Shasta County

Superintendent

**Eva Jimenez**, Shasta College Vice President

Vacant

Public records that relate to any of the matters on this agenda (except Closed Session items), and that have been distributed to the members of the CCP, are available for public inspection at the Shasta County Probation Department, 2684 Radio Lane, Redding, CA 96001. This document and other Community Corrections Partnership documents are available online at <a href="www.co.shasta.ca.us">www.co.shasta.ca.us</a>. Questions regarding this agenda may be directed to Erin Bertain, Chief Fiscal Officer, at Probation at 530-245-6213 or by e-mail at <a href="mailto:elbertain@co.shasta.ca.us">elbertain@co.shasta.ca.us</a>.

# Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) Committee Meeting March 17, 2021

# Teleconference and GoToMeeting Participation Only

MEMBERS	Title of Agency	Present	Absent
Tracie Neal	Chief Probation Officer - Chairman	X	
Melissa Fowler-Bradley	Shasta County Superior Court - A presiding judge of the superior court or designee	X	
Joe Chimenti	Shasta County Administrative Office - A county supervisor or the chief administrative officer for the county or designee of the board of supervisors	X	
Stephanie Bridgett	Shasta County District Attorney		X
William Bateman	Shasta County Public Defender	X	
Eric Magrini	Shasta County Sheriff	X	
Bill Schueller	City of Redding Chief of Police	X	
Donnell Ewert	HHSA - The head of the county department of mental health	X	
Nancy Bolen	HHSA - The head of the county department social services		X
Melissa Janulewicz	HHSA - The head of the county department of employment	X	
Paige Green	HHSA - The head of the county alcohol and substance abuse programs	X	
Judy Flores	Shasta County Office of Education Superintendent - The head of the county office of education	X	
Eva Jimenez	Shasta College - A representative from a community-based organization with experience in successfully providing rehabilitative services to persons who have been convicted of a criminal offense	X	
Angela Jones	One Safe Place - An individual who represents the interest of victims		X

# Attendees:

Jenn Duvall, Terri Honer - Shasta County Administrative Office

Chelsey Chappelle, Erin Bertain, Carol Ulloa, Teresa Skinner, Dominic Evanzia - Shasta

**County Probation Department** 

Ben Hanna, Angela Mellis-Shasta County District Attorney's Office

Melissa Field - Shasta County Health and Human Services Agency

Brian Muir - Shasta County Auditor/Controller's Office

Robert Bowman-Shasta College STEP-UP

Tara Levin, Amanda Lightfoot - Geo Reentry

Randy Abney – CDCR

Nikki Balboa – Department of Veteran Affairs

# Christy Wright - Wright Education Services

# Meeting Overview

The meeting was called to order at 2:33 p.m. A quorum was present. Introductions were made.

# Public Comment

None

# Approval of Meeting Minutes

Judy Flores made a motion to approve the September 16, 2020 minutes. Melissa Fowler-Bradley seconded the motion. Motion passed: 11 Ayes, 0 Noes, 0 Abstentions.

# Financial Report

AB 109 Public Safety Realignment Revenue

Terri Honer discussed the State Allocations to Shasta County financial report, stating the monthly payments are coming in stronger than expected.

# Announcements and General Discussion

# Executive Committee Activity

Tracie Neal gave an overview of the CCP Executive Committee activities since September 16, 2020. She reported at the October 21, 2020 meeting the group received presentations from the District Attorney on the Misdemeanor Diversion Program and from Probation on the Prop 47 Misdemeanor Community Engagement Program. She said they also had discussions on the budget specific to updated revenue estimates. Tracie continued by reporting on the November 12, 2020 where the group approved the 2021 meeting calendar, discussed the Shasta's Most Wanted Program, and approved the annual Board of State and Community Corrections survey. She said the January 20, 2021 meeting included preliminary budget discussions and approval of the Fiscal Year 2019/2020 Annual Report. Tracie stated the Committee approved the Fiscal Year 2021/2022 budget at the meeting held on February 17, 2021. She added that the committee also discussed the GPS contract and services at every meeting. Tracie said she presented the updated CCP Plan to the Board of Supervisors. She stated the approved Plan is now available on the website.

# Presentation – Shasta Day Reporting Center (DRC)

Amanda Lightfoot gave a presentation on the 2020 outcome data for the DRC. She stated she was proud that the DRC did not close it doors even through the pandemic. She explained they did have safety protocols in place and did change the method with which they provided services in some instances. Amanda discussed data measures for the last half of calendar year 2020 including participants served, discharges, average length of stay, drug testing results, attendance,

employment, and changes to criminal thinking. Amanda stated the DRC would be working on their annual report which normally comes out during the summer months.

# Presentation – Hope Theory

Michael Burke gave a presentation on Hope Theory. He said childhood trauma is the single greatest threat to public health. He further stated alcohol and drug abuse multiplies the effects of childhood trauma. He reminded the group about Adverse Childhood Experiences and the assessment in Shasta County that indicated that Shasta County has a high ACE score and family, substance abuse, and verbal violence are the highest areas. He stated research has shown that, without intervention, individuals with an ACE score of 6 or higher die 20-years early. He emphasized that is without intervention. He stated the important thing is to help mitigate the childhood trauma issues and said one way to do that is by using Hope Theory. He defined hope as the belief that your future can be brighter than your past and that you play a role in making it happen. He shared hope is made up of three things: goal setting, pathways, and agency (willpower). He stated the opposite of hope is often thought of as despair but is actually apathy, meaning a loss of motivation or the ability to care. He shared those individuals in the community who are committing crimes are those who don't care what happens to them. He said increasing hope can have a significant impact on a person's life and said just a two-point increase in hope can take a kid from being a C student to a B student. He stated hope is measurable and shared the tools to measure hope in both adults and children. He discussed strategies to nurture (or increase) hope in the community and organizations which included: introduce hope, goal setting, pathways, He provided the committee with willpower, problem solve, create hope visual, and re-goaling. examples for how to implement each strategy and shared stories of individuals or agencies who increased their hope scores.

Judy Flores shared that SCOE has engaged in Hope Theory and has started the work with their School Attendance Review Teams. She said they invited Doctor Hellman to present an overview to the teams as well as school counselors and school site administrators. She said SCOE is building to school implementation in the next school year. She stated it's a powerful piece for schools to use with students who are not engaged. She indicated these students can have issues either at school or at home or both and they plan to address all areas.

Michael Burke shared that Shasta Community Health Center received an ACES Aware Grant through First Five Shasta and will be performing ACE assessments on families with children 5 and under. He said those families with an ACE score of four or higher will be referred to the Parent Partner Program at Pathways to Hope. He stated the hope is to help the parents mitigate the issues to reduce or eliminate ACES in the younger generation.

Tracie thanked Judy Flores for all the work she is doing in this area and indicated that she really enjoyed the training they provided. Donnell asked if Probation was incorporating Hope Theory into the work in the DRC or work with probationers in general. Tracie Neal said it hasn't been incorporated yet and indicated the area to start is with staff in the area of job satisfaction and wellness. She explained the staff doing the work need to have a high level of hope in order to incorporate the hope framework into their conversations, goal setting and case planning.

Michael Burke offered to give presentations to any organization who wants it. Donnell suggested potentially incorporating it into the DRC. Amanda agreed and said she would connect with Michael and look toward incorporating Hope Theory into the DRC.

# Action Items

None.

# Operational Updates

None.

# Input for next agenda

Tracie Neal said they would schedule presentations on the Innovation Subaccount funded programs, STEP Up, and the DRC Annual Report.

# Meeting Schedules

Tracie stated the next meeting for the Executive Committee is on May 19<sup>th</sup> and the full committee would meet again on June 16<sup>th</sup>.

# **Adjourn**

Paige Green motioned to adjourn. Eric Magrini seconded the motion. Motion passed: 11 Ayes, 0 Noes.

Meeting adjourned at 3:48 p.m.

CCPEC June 16, 2021				nt History &	y Target Info	09/25/20 685,297.85	0/27/20 660,570.36	1/23/20 902,653.34	2/28/20 729,535.69	01/29/21 701,433.51	02/25/21 955,591.95	03/26/21 626,027.84	04/28/21 625,939.00	05/26/21 959,761.23	Pending 0.00	Pending 0.00	Pending 0.00	\$6,846,810.77		t Target	e Monthly	CA 500 003 42
	7	)		Payment	Monthly		_	11/2	_				04/2		Per	1 1	Per	I. a l	اء ا	Target	To Date	(9 Months)
				%	Remaining	Projections	4.92%		4.92%	4.92%	4.92%	4.92%		4.92%		4.92%		4.92%	4.92%	4.92%		%Cb 7
				Balance	Remaining	In Projections	354,310.23		18,494.99	121,422.12	15,483.36	155,400.47		3,082.50		171,557.01		8,007.41	7,723.96	8,538.88		25/1 240 23
21	enne	3, 2021		%	Total	Receipts	95.08%		95.08%	95.08%	95.08%	95.08%		95.08%		95.08%		95.08%	95.08%	95.08%		95 080%
	New Revenue	As of June 3, 2021		County	Total	Receipts	6,846,810.77		357,403.52	2,346,402.05	299,205.63	3,003,011.20		59,567.25		3,315,225.77		154,737.92	149,260.47	165,008.14		C 246 840 77
		Account	542603	Budgeted	Revenue	w/growth	9,136,946.00		466,945.00	3,066,594.00	390,836.00	3,924,375.00		62,649.00		4,390,596.00		262,422.00	199,485.00	297,419.00		0 426 946 00
	7/1/20 - 6/30/21)		GOV 5/14/20	State Revenue	Estimate	(no growth)	7,201,121.00		375,898.52	2,467,824.17	314,688.99	3.158,411.67		62,649.75		3,486,782.79		162,745.33	156,984.44	173,547.02		7 204 424 00
	(Twelve Months 7/1/20 - 6/30/21)	3/20 - 8/15/21)				% per CCP	100.00%		5.22%	34.27%	4.37%	43.86%		0.87%		48.42%		2.26%	2.18%	2.41%		/000 000
2011 Realignment Revenue Report	Fiscal Year 2020-2021	Revenue Time Period (8/16/20 - 8/15/21)							Sheriff (235)	Jail (260)	Work Release (246)	Subtotal/Sheriff		HHSA General Asst (542)		Probation (263)		District Attorney (227)	Victim Witness (256)	Public Defender (207)		-

% Target To Date (9 Months) 126.77%

28 229 80 DA/PD: To fund cost associated with revocation proceeding involving persons subject to state parole, pursuant to 30025 of the California Government Code.

		GOV 5/14/20						02/62/80	70,223.00
District Attorney (227)	20.00%	151,541.63	161,513.00	141,021.96	93.06%	10,519.67	6.94%	10/27/20	27,211.19
Public Defender (207)	20.00%	151,541.63	161,513.00	141,021.96	93.06%	10,519.67	6.94%	11/23/20	37,183.43
Grand Total	100.00%	303,083.26	323,026.00	282,043.92	93.06%	21,039.34	6.94%	12/28/20	30,052.11
								01/29/21	28,894.48
State figures subject to change 1	hange.1							02/25/21	39,364.15
CSAC is California State Association of Counties	Association of Cour	nties]						03/26/21	25,788.26

[State figures subject to change.] [CSAC is California State Association of Counties]

10,00,101	25,784.60	39,535.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$282,043.92
10000	04/28/21	05/27/21	Pending	Pending	Pending	
	% Target	To Date	(9 Months)	124.08%		
	Target	To Date	(9 Months)	227,312.45		
	Target	Monthly	25,256.94			Page 1 of 1
4C is California State Association of Counties/						nty Administrative Office Report - Jenn Duval

Page 1 of 1

County Administrative Office Report - Jenn Duval

# COUNTY OF SHASTA

# NOTICE OF VACANCY

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN**, pursuant to the Shasta County Community Corrections Partnership Bylaws, that one vacancy has occurred on the following:

# Shasta County Community Corrections Partnership

The SHASTA COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP is a committee, created in Shasta County, charged with recommending a local plan to the Board of Supervisors for the implementation of the 2011 Public Safety Realignment, as well as overseeing the implementation of the local plan.

The SHASTA COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP is a fourteen member advisory body, whose membership is outlined in Penal Code Section 1230(b)(2).

There is one vacancy for a representative who represents the interests of victims. All of the members shall be residents of the Shasta County and shall serve without compensation.

For further information and to acquire an application, please contact:

Tracie Neal
Chief Probation Officer and Chair of the Community Corrections Partnership
2684 Radio Lane
Redding, CA 96001
(530) 645-6200
tneal@co.shasta.ca.us

Please submit application by: June 30, 2021

# COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP PROFILE / APPLICATION

NAME	TELEPHONE
	TELEPHONE (work, home, or cell)
ADDRESS	CITY & ZIP
EMAIL	FAX NUMBER
(Please note that information provided will becon	ne part of documents available to the public.)
Briefly summarize your experience re	epresenting the interest of victims.
List other specialized education and/o involved which would contribute to the involved which would be involved which would contribute to the involved which would be involved w	or experience with which you have been is committee.
3. Additional comments:	
Applicants Signature:	Date:

# COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP PROFILE / APPLICATION

# **Composition of the Committee:**

Pursuant to Penal Code Section 1230(b)(2), the membership of the Community Corrections Partnership includes:

- 1. The Chief Probation Officer (Chair)
- 2. The Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, or his or her designee
- 3. A county supervisor or the chief administrative officer for the county or a designee of the board of supervisors
- 4. The District Attorney
- 5. The Public Defender
- 6. The Sheriff
- 7. A Chief of Police
- 8. The head of the county department of Social Services
- 9. The head of the county department of Mental Health
- 10. The head of the county department of Employment
- 11. The head of the county Alcohol and Substance Abuse programs
- 12. The head of the county Office of Education
- 13. A representative from a community based organization with experience in successfully providing rehabilitative services to persons who have been convicted of a criminal offense
- 14. An individual who represents the interests of victims



2

# COMMUNITYCONNECT

# Juvenile Truancy Prevention Project June 2021

LeAnna Mitchell & April Matthews


# PROJECT HISTORY

Chronic Absenteeism rates, which is defined as missing 10% or more of the days for Shasta County schools are significantly above the state average when it comes to which a student is enrolled in school.

In 2019-2020, a wide variety of representatives came together to develop a new model for supporting student attendance. This included adding Case Managers:

- 6th-12th Grade Case Manager through CommunityConnect (Community Corrections Partnership-Local Innovation) TK-5th Grade Case Manager through CommunityConnect (SCOE)
  - Homeless Youth Case Manager (TK-12th Grade) (SCOE) Foster Youth Case Manager (TK-12th Grade) (SCOE)





# School Attendance Review Teams (Progress Monitoring of Tier 1 System) SUPPLEMENTAL SUPPORT Community Connect TK-12 Schools attempt to engage all of their students nary Team (MDT) provides data to determine appropriate methods to engage hard to reach families. Home visit and/or welfare check. No re-engagement or improvement: UNIVERSAL SUPPORT INTENSIFIED SUPPORT

Why Attendance Matters Campaign and Attendance Letters, Relationships, Culture and Climate

Positive Messaging:

# JUVENILE TRUANCY PREVENTION PROJECT

Management services to the students and their families. Goal to reduce absenteeism with middle and high students by providing supportive Case

- Services were offered to students/families at the Tier 3 Student Attendance Review Board (SARB)
- of services. implement prevention and intervention strategies while providing wrap-around type
- The Educationally Related Mental Health Services (ERMHS) Clinician Case Manager was accredited as a Triple P Practitioner and attended the Hope Navigator training.



# Case Manager worked closely with school administrators, caregivers and students to

# SIRB

school administrators would discuss the concerns and successes of each student. The SARB panel consisted of school administrators. The student, caregivers and students

- 72 Students were invited to SARB with 49 attending either in person or via phone.
- 97% of the students received a SARB contract, with the other 3% on a "monitor status"
- 41 of those that attended were offered Case Management Services. 8 were not offered services due to the students having transferred schools, moved out of the area, etc.

# ATTENDED SARB # OFFERED CASE MANAGMENT # ACCEPTED







# CASE MANAGEMENT FLOW

COMMUNITYCONNECT

Targeted Case Manager
Timeline of Support and Progress Monitoring Following SARB Hearing

SARB

Hearing with Family

Weekly Calls to Attendance Family and

\*School is contacted if and when improvement stops

and Attendance Calls to Family

Family and Check-In to Attendance

Bi-Weekly

1st Month

2nd Month

3rd Month


1 Final

met the students and families needs with individualized approaches as each had a unique set of needs, risks, and strengths. Case management was provided on a regular basis, with a fluid and flexible rhythm that best


were being met, with a systematic flow of communication between the student, family and This includes connecting with students and families more as needs arise, and less when goals


assist with developing a morning routine that would best encourage school attendance. accommodate working parents, and arriving at their home before school starts to The flexibility has included strategies such as scheduling phone visits after hours to











# LINKAGES OF CBOS

Catalyst Mentoring, IMPACT Invo, SCOE - Bridges to Success, Pathways to Hope - Family Resource Center (Redding), Dunamis Wellness Group, Pathways to Hope-Launch, ACCA - Emergency Food Bank and Assistance, Hope City, Good News Rescue Mission, HHSA - Youth Mental Health Services, Our Lady of Mercy - Food Pantry, 2-1-1 Shasta, Beacon Health Strategies-for Partnership, Creekside Counseling Center, Disability Action Center, HHSA - CalFresh and CFAP, HHSA Housing Program, One SAFE Place - Domestic & Sexual Abuse Services, SCOE - Early Childhood Services (ECS) CalFresh Food Program, and Spectrum Internet Assist Program





# DATA-case managed attendance percentage pre sarb is. post sarb



OF THE 43%, PRE SARB AVERAGE ATTENDANCE RATE WAS 61%.



# POST SARB ATTENDANCE PERCENTAGE PRE SARB IIS, POST SARB POST SARB ATTENDANCE N=37 Post SARB Attendance (Actordance (Actorda

# SUCCESS

- $3\,students/caregivers\,did\,NOT\,attend\,SARB,\,however\,still\,engaged\,when\,contacted\,by\,Case\,Manager\,after\,the\,SARB\,meeting.$
- Over 100 Case Management home visits, not including weekly phone or text communication.
- 17 students engaged and are participating in mental health services, mentoring or both.



"I have felt so supported as a parent by the case manager, SARB and the therapist that we got connected with. This has helped our whole family, It's making me emotional talking about it, thank you."



- Many referred to services were helped or connected to services had already been helped prior by CC Care Coordinator, the school or self referral.
- Many of the students receiving Case Management were not on a waitlist for mental health or mentoring services-receiving timely services.
- 12 of the parents were referred to services for themselves, including food, housing counseling, childcare or Parent Partner. •
- services. They didn't have to come back to SARB as they met their attendance goal and were 2 students brought to SARB were put on monitoring and accepted Case Management dismissed. .



experience. The case manager has made me feel comfortable. I don't connect well with professionals, but I did with har and I named to the confortable.

# SUCCESS- SARAH, 10TH GRADER

- Referred to Community Connect due to attendance issues both in person and distance learning. Could not make it through a day at school without being anxious, shutting down, no participation and anger outbursts. Refused to go to school or would have to be picked up early. She was also behind academically •
  - Family trauma, parent was against Sarah getting mental health services. Addiction issues within

•

- Sarah and her family were sent to SARB. Another family member was the primary caregiver at this time. Was able to get enrolled at an alternative educational site. Student began attending
- regularly and school work was being completed. Case manager worked with the family. Family became open to mental health services, including
  - Sarah. Sarah has actively been participating in therapy.
    She finished the school year out in a better place academically and emotionally. She enrolled in summer school and is attending.

    - She just started her first job!!!



"I don't trust people and have a lot of anxiety. I appreciate how flexible things have been with getting help after SARB."

# SUCCESS- AMBER, 10TH GRADER

- Refusing to attend school, before 10/5/20 she had not been in school since before March. Failing all of her
- Past and current trauma for student and her mother. The student had been raised by extended family for a majority of her life, but back with mom for the last couple of years. This school year there was a domestic violence situation that impacted everyone greatly.
- Family had to locate new housing during a pandemic. Younger sibling had a major health condition, who was at risk if exposed or got COVID.
- Family attended SARB and offered case management services. Initially Amber wouldn't talk or engage. Case manager stayed consistently involved and supportive of family.
  - Amber enrolled back in school, has been doing well and is attending summer school.
- Case manager started working on family goals. The family got connected with a therapist and counseling started. Amber and her mother have a lot of stuff to work out. They have both given positive feedback and gratitude about starting counseling. They have expressed it has really helped their family and relationship as mother and daughter.



"I thought SARB was going to suck, and it turned out to be a blessing and I got to work with you"....

# CHALLENGES

- up of goals and needs, additional resources as needed and transition planning, especially the school year, but services will continue through summer. Services will include follow The length of time to work with the families was greatly shortened towards the end of for those entering high school.
- Being a pilot year, not all students had been referred to Community Connect prior to SARB, however all accepted Case Management when offered •
- COVID struggles included families with multiple children at multiple schools with siblings with special needs, immediate family deaths, major medical/health issues, distance learning off and on, computer literacy, family job loss, domestic violence, caregiver and student mental health.



"I look forward to weekly check ins, I can vent my frustrations and challenges, feel heard, get feedback and the case manager is supportive, but stays neutral."




# EXPENDITURES

Probation funding was braided with SCOE funds to secure a full-time Case Manager.

In addition, a \$5,000 Redding Rancheria grant was used to purchase incentives for students and parent engagement.

- For example, one student had not attended school prior to the January SARB date. By school ending, her post SARB attendance rate was at 79%. In addition to the families receiving support, the student received incentives for attending school.
- Parents are saying that the kids are feeling special with added personalized notes of accomplishments provided to the students.





# **Shasta County Prop 47 Community Engagement Project (CEP)**

July 2021 Preliminary Evaluation update

June 2021

# **CEP Program Goals**

- Increasing community engagement by mediating changes in anti-social values and attitudes
- Reducing barriers to navigating the court system
- Increasing access to behavioral health treatment, housing assistance, and pre-trial diversion
- Improving court attendance among misdemeanor offenders, including those with a history of repeated offenses or failure to appear (FTA)
- Preventing further criminal behavior, arrest, and/or reentry in the criminal justice system






# **CEP Outreach Population**

- 114 misdemeanor offenders were eligible for CEP participation
- 52 (46%) eligible clients were enrolled
- 13 (25%)
  - enrolled clients were later disenrolled
- 2 clients are approaching completion

# Eligibility Criteria within Outreach Population (n=114)



# **CEP Client Enrollment**


began enrolling new clients in Jan 2020.

COVID-19 closures slowed new enrollments between March 2020 and Jan 2021. New enrollments have increased significantly

since Jan 2021.


The CEP program

# Cumulative Number of Clients Enrolled by Month by Date of Probation Referral (n=39)



# CEP Source of Referral for Enrolled Clients

Two-thirds (65.7%) of enrolled clients are referred to CEP through the court.




- Court (65.7%)
- Probation (8.6%)
- # HHSA (2.9%)
- Hill Country Heath and Wellness (8.6%)
- " Public Defender (5.7%)
- Other or unknown (5.6%)

# **Client Gender**


# • Male (61.1%) • Female (38.9%)

# **Client Age**



Average Age = 36.5 years


# **Client Race/Ethnicity**




American Indian (8.0%)
 Black (2.8%)

White (75.0%) - Multi-racial (13.9%)

**CEP Client Characteristics** (n=36)CEP Intake Survey Client Location by Zip Code - Area of Residence at the Time of **Enrollment** 




**CEP Client** Characteristics (n=36)CEP Intake Survey **Educational Attainment of CEP Clients at Intake** 



# **CEP Client** Characteristics (n=36)CEP Intake Survey


# **Employment Status of CEP Clients at Intake**



- Employed, full-time (2.8%%)
  Employed, part-time (13.9%)
  Unemployed (75.0%)

# **CEP Client Housing Status** (*n*=36) CEP Intake Survey

# **Housing Status of CEP Clients at Intake**




- Independent housing (22.2%)
- Homeless (38.9%)
- Other or not stated (5.6%)
- Family or relative's home (27.8%)
- Sober living/transitional housing (5.6%)

# **Client Assessment & Goal Setting**

- 15% of clients who completed a mental health assessment as part of the intake and assessment process were diagnosed with a mental health disorder.
- 18% of clients who completed a substance abuse assessmentwere diagnosed with a substance use disorder.


**56.4%** of clients had one or more Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) *Average ACEs score = 7* 



# CEP Court Experiences Survey (n=15)


**28.5** percent of clients surveyed reported a history of negative experience at a court hearing.

Client Self-Reports of Barriers to Court Appearance -Top 5 Barriers (Scoring Range 1 Low Barrier - 4 High Barrier)




CEP Participant Survey (*n*=36)

TCU Criminal Thinking Scales (TCU CTS) Baseline Measures of Anti-Social Values and Attitudes (Scoring Range 10 Low, Positive – 50 High, Negative)



CEP targets **reductions** in criminal thinking scores from baseline to program completion.



# Next Steps for the CEP Evaluation


- Identify the milestone to serve as an indicator for program completion based on mental health program, substance use disorder program, and diversion program participation and completion.
- Conduct comprehensive data quality review with Probation and Hill Country Health and Wellness to assess data quality and completeness and to recover any missing or incomplete information to incorporate into the mid-grant analysis.
- Update the recidivism analysis to the most current quarter to include in mid-grant reporting.
- Draft, finalize, and submit the Prop 47 CEP Mid-Grant Report to the BSCC by the August 2021 deadline.







**CEP Outreach Materials** 



# Community Engagement Program (CEP)

CEP Program will provide the following case management:

- Help clients successfully navigate the court process.
- Transportation of clients to court, treatment programing, and diversionary services.
- Comprehensive medical and social needs case management, with an individualized care plan for each client.
- Mental health and substance use disorder assessment of each client.
- Referrals to mental health and substance disorder treatment, including but not limited to, medication assisted treatment and counseling.
- Referrals to educational and employment readiness, support and training.
- Housing assessment and assistance overcoming housing barriers.

# Eligibility requirements for CEP program:

The population includes individuals **who have a current misdemeanor or arrest for FTA's**. Other populations include clients who are homeless or at risk of being homeless, and clients with substance use disorder and or mental health disorders who have pending misdemeanors, court matters and or drug offenses.


For additional information please contact:


Julie Anderson
Probation Assistant
Shasta County Probation Department
(530) 245-6770
Jaanderson@co.shasta.ca.us



# Probation Data Sheet - May 2021

# **Probation Population**





# **Community Corrections Center Services**

