# Shasta County Sheriff's Office

Shasta County SD Policy Manual

# **Investigation and Prosecution**

## 600.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

When assigned to a case for initial or follow-up investigation, detectives shall proceed with due diligence in evaluating and preparing the case for appropriate clearance or presentation to a prosecutor for filing of criminal charges.

### 600.2 MODIFICATION OF CHARGES FILED

In all cases resulting in court prosecution, any request to modify the charges filed or to recommend dismissal of charges in a pending case shall be made to the District Attorney's Office or County Counsel's Office only as authorized by a Division Commander or the Sheriff.

#### 600.3 CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION REQUIREMENTS

Any custodial interrogation of a person who is suspected of having committed any violent felony offense should be electronically recorded (audio/video or both as available) in its entirety as otherwise allowed by law. Regardless of where the interrogation occurs, every reasonable effort should be made to secure functional recording equipment to accomplish such recordings.

Deputies should also consider electronically recording a custodial interrogation, or any investigative interview, for any other offense when the deputy reasonably believes it would be appropriate and beneficial to the investigation and is otherwise allowed by law.

No recording of an interrogation should be destroyed or altered without written authorization from the District Attorney and the Major Crimes Unit supervisor. Copies of recorded interrogations or interviews may be made in the same or different format provided they are true, accurate and complete copies and are made only for authorized and legitimate law enforcement purposes.

Deputies should not allow the recording to take the place of a thorough report and investigative interviews and should continue to obtain written statements from suspects when applicable.

## 600.3.1 MANDATORY RECORDINGS OF JUVENILES

Any interrogation of an individual under 18 years of age who in custody and suspected of committing murder shall be audio and video recorded when the interview takes place at a department facility, jail, detention facility or other official setting. The recording shall include the entire interview and a *Miranda* advisement preceding the interrogation (Penal Code § 859.5).

This recording is not mandatory when (Penal Code § 859.5):

- (a) Recording is not feasible because of exigent circumstances that are later documented in a report.
- (b) The individual refuses to have the interrogation recorded, including refusal anytime during interrogation, and the refusal is documented in a report. If feasible, the refusal shall be electronically recorded.

- (c) The custodial interrogation took place in another state by law enforcement officers of that state, unless the interrogation was conducted with the intent to avoid the requirements of Penal Code § 859.5.
- (d) The interrogation occurs when no member conducting the interrogation has a reason to believe that the individual may have committed murder. Continued custodial interrogation concerning that offense shall be electronically recorded if the interrogating member develops a reason to believe the individual committed a murder.
- (e) The interrogation would disclose the identity of a confidential informant or jeopardize the safety of a deputy, the individual being interrogated or another individual. Such circumstances shall be documented in a report.
- (f) A recording device fails despite reasonable maintenance and the timely repair or replacement was not feasible.
- (g) Questions are part of a routine processing or booking, and not an interrogation.

These recordings shall be retained until a conviction is final and all direct and habeas corpus appeals are exhausted, a court no longer has any jurisdiction of the individual or the prosecution for that offense is barred (Penal Code § 859.5; Welfare and Institution Code § 626.8).

#### 600.4 CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS INTERCEPTION TECHNOLOGY

The Investigation Division Commander is responsible for ensuring the following for cellular communications interception technology operations (Government Code § 53166):

- (a) Security procedures are developed to protect information gathered through the use of the technology.
- (b) A usage and privacy policy is developed that includes:
  - The purposes for which using cellular communications interception technology and collecting information is authorized.
  - Identification by job title or other designation of employees who are authorized to use or access information collected through the use of cellular communications interception technology.
  - 3. Training requirements necessary for those authorized employees.
  - A description of how the Department will monitor the use of its cellular communications interception technology to ensure the accuracy of the information collected and compliance with all applicable laws.
  - 5. Process and time period system audits.
  - 6. Identification of the existence of any memorandum of understanding or other agreement with any other local agency or other party for the shared use of cellular communications interception technology or the sharing of information collected through its use, including the identity of signatory parties.

# Shasta County Sheriff's Office

Shasta County SD Policy Manual

# Investigation and Prosecution

- 7. The purpose of, process for and restrictions on the sharing of information gathered through the use of cellular communications interception technology with other local agencies and persons.
- 8. The length of time information gathered through the use of cellular communications interception technology will be retained, and the process the local agency will utilize to determine if and when to destroy retained information.

Members shall only use approved devices and usage shall be in compliance with department security procedures, the department's usage and privacy procedures and all applicable laws.